



Citation for published version:

Traustason, G & Jabara, E 2020, 'On $(n+1/2)$ -Engel groups', *Journal of Group Theory*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 503-511. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2019-0105>

DOI:

[10.1515/jgth-2019-0105](https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2019-0105)

Publication date:

2020

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2019-0105)

© 2019 De Gruyter. The final publication is available at Journal of Group Theory via <https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2019-0105>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

On $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel groups

E. Jabara

Dipartimento di Filosofia e Beni Culturali
Università Ca'Foscari Venezia

G. Traustason

Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Bath

Abstract

Let n be a positive integer. We say that a group G is an $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel group if it satisfies the law $[x, {}_n y, x] = 1$. The variety of $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel groups lies between the varieties of n -Engel groups and $(n + 1)$ -Engel groups. In this paper we study these groups and in particular we prove that all $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel $\{2, 3\}$ -groups are locally nilpotent. We also show that if G is a $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel p -group where $p \geq 5$ is a prime, then G^p is locally nilpotent.

1 Introduction

Let G be a group and $g, h \in G$. The commutator of g and h is the element $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh \in G$. We define recursively $[g, {}_n h]$ where n is a positive integer as follows: $[g, {}_1 h] = [g, h]$ and $[g, {}_{n+1} h] = [[g, {}_n h], h]$ for $n \geq 1$. A subset $S \subseteq G$ is an Engel set of G if for every $g, h \in S$ there is a positive integer $k = k(g, h)$ such that $[g, {}_k h] = 1$. If k is bounded above by some positive integer n we say that S is an n -Engel subset and if furthermore $G = S$, then G is an n -Engel group. Recall that every 2-Engel group is nilpotent of class at most 3. By a classic result of Heineken [4] every 3-Engel group is locally nilpotent and this result was later generalized to include all 4-Engel groups [3] (see also [10]).

Recall that an element $a \in G$ is said to be left n -Engel if $[x, {}_n a] = 1$ for all $x \in G$ and right n -Engel if $[a, {}_n x] = 1$ for all $x \in G$. We denote the subset of left n -Engel elements by $L_n(G)$ and the right n -Engel elements by $R_n(G)$.

Definition. Let G be a group and n a positive integer.

- (1) We say that $a \in G$ is a left $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel element if $[x, {}_n a, x] = 1$ for all $x \in G$.
- (2) We say that $a \in G$ is a right $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel element if $[a, {}_n x, a] = 1$ for all $x \in G$.
- (3) We say that G is an $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel group if it satisfies the law $[x, {}_n y, x] = 1$.

We denote the subset of left $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel elements by $L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G)$ and the right $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel elements by $R_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G)$. Thus G is an $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel group if and only if $L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G) = G$ or equivalently $R_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G) = G$. We denote the variety of m -Engel groups by \mathcal{E}_m .

Remark. It is not difficult to prove that $L_{1+\frac{1}{2}}(G) = R_2(G)$ and that $R_{1+\frac{1}{2}}(G) = L_2(G)$. Thus in particular $\mathcal{E}_{1+\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{E}_2$.

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a group and n a positive integer. We have $L_n(G) \subseteq L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G) \subseteq L_{n+1}(G)$. In particular $\mathcal{E}_n \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$.*

Proof That $L_n(G) \subseteq L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G)$ is obvious. To see that $L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G) \subseteq L_{n+1}(G)$, let $a \in L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G)$. Then for any $x \in G$ we have

$$1 = [ax, {}_n a, ax] = [x, {}_n a, x][x, {}_{n+1} a]^x = [x, {}_{n+1} a]^x.$$

Thus $[x, {}_{n+1} a] = 1$ and $a \in L_{n+1}(G)$. \square

Our main results on $(n + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel groups are the following.

Theorem B. Let G be a $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel $\{2, 3\}$ -group. Then G is locally nilpotent.

Theorem C. Let G be a $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel p -group where p is a prime and $p \geq 5$. Then G^p is locally nilpotent.

A major ingredient to the proofs is a result on Engel sets that is also of independent interest. Let $e_n = [x, {}_n y]$ be the n -Engel word.

Theorem A. Let $R = \langle a, b \rangle$ be the largest 2-generator group satisfying the relations $e_3(a, b) = e_3(b, a) = e_3(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = e_3(b^{-1}, a^{-1}) = 1$. Then R is nilpotent of class 4.

We will see later that these relations imply that $S = \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ is a 3-Engel subset of R .

2 Proof of Theorem A

Consider the n -Engel word $e_n(x, y) = [x, {}_n y] = 1$. As we will focus in particular on the 3-Engel word we will often use $e(x, y)$ instead of $e_3(x, y)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose G is a group with elements a, b where $e(a, b) = e(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = 1$. Then $\langle b, b^a \rangle = \langle b, [a, b] \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2.*

Proof From the equations

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [a, b, b, b] = [b^{-a}b, b, b] = [b^{-a}, b, b]^b \\ 1 &= [a^{-1}, b^{-1}, b^{-1}, b^{-1}] = [b^{a^{-1}}, b^{-1}, b^{-1}]^{b^{-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that $1 = [b^{-a}, b, b]$ and $1 = [b^{a^{-1}}, b^{-1}, b^{-1}]^a = [b, b^{-a}, b^{-a}]$. Thus $\langle b, b^a \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 2. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let G be a group with elements a, b where $e(a, b) = e(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = 1$. Then $[a^\epsilon, b^{\epsilon_1}, b^{\epsilon_2}, b^{\epsilon_3}] = 1$ for all $\epsilon, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in \{1, -1\}$.*

Proof By symmetry it suffices to deal with the case when $\epsilon = 1$. As $[a, b^{\epsilon_1}, b^{\epsilon_2}, b^{-1}] = [a, b^{\epsilon_1}, b^{\epsilon_2}, b]^{-b^{-1}}$ we can also assume that $\epsilon_3 = 1$. Then from

$$[a, b^{\epsilon_1}, b^{-1}, b] = [[a, b^{\epsilon_1}, b]^{-1}, b]^{b^{-1}} = [a, b^{\epsilon_1}, b, b]^{-[a, b^{\epsilon_1}, b]^{-1}b^{-1}},$$

we can also without loss of generality assume that $\epsilon_2 = 1$. We are thus only left with showing that $[a, b^{-1}, b, b] = 1$ but this follows from Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $[a, b^{-1}, b, b] = [b^a b^{-1}, b, b] \in \gamma_3(\langle b, b^a \rangle)$. \square

Remark. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that if $e(a, b) = e(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = e(b, a) = e(b^{-1}, a^{-1}) = 1$, then $S = \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ is a 3-Engel subset.

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a group with elements a, b satisfying $e(a, b) = e(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = 1$. Then $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a]$ commutes with b^a .*

Proof From the Hall-Witt identity and Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= [[a, b, b], a^{-1}, b]^a [a, b^{-1}, [a, b, b]]^b [b, [a, b, b]^{-1}, a]^{[a, b, b]} \\ &= [a, b, b, a^{-1}, b]^a = [[a, b, b, a]^{-1}, b^a]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $[a, b, b, a]$ commutes with b^a and thus, using Lemma 2.1 again, $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a] = [a, b, b, a]^{-[a, b, b]^{-1}}$ commutes with b^a as well. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Let $R = \langle a, b \rangle$ be the largest group satisfying the relations $e(a, b) = e(b, a) = e(a^{-1}, b^{-1}) = e(b^{-1}, a^{-1}) = 1$. By the remark after Lemma 2.2 we know that $S = \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ is a 3-Engel subset of R .

In order to show that R is nilpotent of class at most 4 we need to show that $a, b \in Z_4(R)$. This is equivalent to showing that $[b, a] \in Z_3(R)$. As $\langle a, [a, b] \rangle$ and $\langle b, [a, b] \rangle$ are nilpotent of class at most 2, we see that $[a, b, a] = [b, a, a]^{-1}$ and $[b, a, b] = [a, b, b]^{-1}$. In order to show that $[b, a] \in Z_3(R)$ we need to show that $[b, a, a]$ and $[b, a, b] = [a, b, b]^{-1}$ are in $Z_2(R)$. As $[b, a, a, a] = [a, b, b, b] = 1$ it suffices to show that $[[b, a, a]^{-1}, b], [[a, b, b]^{-1}, a] \in Z(R)$. In the following calculations we use again the fact that $\langle b, [a, b] \rangle$ and $\langle a, [a, b] \rangle$ are nilpotent of class at most 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [b, a, a][b, a, a, b] &= [b, a, a]^b \\ &= [[b, a]^b, a^b] \\ &= [[b, a, b][b, a], a[a, b]] \\ &= [[b, a, b][b, a], a]^{[a, b]} \\ &= [b, a, b, a]^{[b, a][a, b]} [b, a, a]^{[a, b]} \\ &= [[a, b, b]^{-1}, a][b, a, a]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a] = [b, a, a, b]^{[b, a, a]^{-1}} = [[b, a, a]^{-1}, b]^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

From (1) we thus see that it suffices to show that $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a] \in Z(R)$ and in fact it suffices to show that $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a]$ commutes with b as then by symmetry, the RHS of (1) commutes with a and thus $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a]$ commutes then with a as well.

From Lemma 2.2 we know that $e(a^\alpha, b^\beta) = e(b^\beta, a^\alpha) = 1$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, -1\}$. In particular the equation (1) holds if we replace a by a^{-1} or b by b^{-1} . Calculating in the group $\langle a, a^b \rangle$

that is nilpotent of class at most 2, we see that $[b, a^{-1}, a^{-1}] = [a^b, a^{-1}] = [a^{-b}, a] = [b, a, a]$. From this and (1) it follows that $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a]$ is invariant under replacing a by a^{-1} . Notice also that $[a^{-1}, b, b] = [b^{-a^{-1}}, b] = [b^{-1}, b^a]^{a^{-1}} = [b^{-a}, b]^{-a^{-1}} = [a, b, b]^{-a^{-1}}$. Thus

$$[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a] = [[a^{-1}, b, b]^{-1}, a^{-1}] = [[a, b, b], a^{-1}]^{a^{-1}} = [a, b, b, a]^{-a^{-2}} = [[a, b, b]^{-1}, a]^{[a, b, b]a^{-2}}.$$

But from Lemma 2.3 and (1) we know that $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a] = [[b, a, a]^{-1}, b]^{-1}$ commutes with b^a and a^b . Replacing a by a^{-1} for the RHS we see that the common element also commutes with $b^{a^{-1}}$. Likewise it commutes with $a^{b^{-1}}$. As

$$[a, b, b]a^{-2} = [b, a]b^{-1}[a, b]ba^{-2} = a^{-b}ab^{-1}a^{-1}a^b a^{-2b^{-1}}b = a^{-b}b^{-a^{-1}}a^b a^{-2b^{-1}}b,$$

it follows that $[[a, b, b]^{-1}, a]$ commutes with b .

As R is nilpotent of class at most 4, it follows that R is metabelian and using the nilpotent quotient algorithm `nq` of Nickel [8] (which is implemented in `GAP`, [9]) one can see that the class is exactly 4. It turns out that R is torsion-free with $R' \cong \mathbb{Z}^4$. \square

Remark. An interesting related result of [1] (Proposition 3.1) states that if $S = \{a, b\}$ with $[a, b, b] = [b, a, a, a] = 1$, then $\langle S \rangle$ is nilpotent of class at most 3.

The following examples show that the hypotheses of Theorem A cannot be weakened.

Example 1. (Example 4.2 of [1].) Let x and y be elements of S_{12} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)(7, 9, 10, 8)(11, 12) \\ y &= (1, 3)(2, 4, 5, 7)(6, 8)(9, 11)(10, 12). \end{aligned}$$

Then $o(x) = 4 = o(y)$, $[x, y, y, y] = 1 = [y, x, x, x]$ and $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ has order $2^5 \cdot 3^4$, so, in particular G is not nilpotent.

Example 2. Let x and y be elements of S_{12} defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6, 8, 10)(7, 9, 11, 12) \\ y &= (1, 3)(2, 4, 5, 7)(6, 9)(8, 11)(10, 12). \end{aligned}$$

Then $o(x) = 4 = o(y)$, $[x, y, y, y] = 1 = [x^{-1}, y, y, y]$, $[y, x, x, x] = 1 = [y^{-1}, x, x, x]$ and $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ has order $2^6 \cdot 3^4$, so, in particular G is not nilpotent.

3 Proofs of Theorem B and Theorem C

Lemma 3.1. *Let $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ be a group where $y \in L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G)$. Then $[x, y] \in Z(G)$.*

Proof That $[x, y]$ commutes with x is a direct consequence of $y \in L_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(G)$. Then $[yx, y, yx] = [x, y, yx] = [x, y, x][x, y]^{x^2} = [x, y]^{x^2}$ shows that $[x, y]$ commutes also with y . \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a group and let $a, b \in G$. Suppose that for some $n \geq 2$ we have that $\{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ is a n -Engel subset of G . Then $e_{n-1}(b^{-a}, b) = e_{n-1}(b, b^{-a}) = e_{n-1}(b^a, b^{-1}) = e_{n-1}(b^{-1}, b^a) = 1$.*

Proof We have $1 = [a, {}_n b] = [b^{-a} b, {}_{n-1} b] = [b^{-a}, {}_{n-1} b]^b$ and therefore $[b^{-a}, {}_{n-1} b] = 1$. Replacing b by b^{-1} we see that $[a, {}_n b^{-1}] = 1$ implies $[b^a, {}_{n-1} b^{-1}] = 1$. Next we use $[a^{-1}, {}_n b] = 1$ that implies that $[b^{-a^{-1}}, {}_{n-1} b] = 1$ and thus after conjugation by a that $[b^{-1}, {}_{n-1} b^a] = 1$. Replacing b by b^{-1} we see that $[a^{-1}, {}_n b^{-1}] = 1$ implies $[b, {}_{n-1} b^{-a}] = 1$. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let G be a $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel 2-group. Then G is locally nilpotent.*

Proof Taking the quotient of G by the Hirsch-Plotkin radical, we can assume that $\text{HP}(G) = 1$ and we want to show that $G = 1$. We argue by contradiction and suppose $G \neq 1$. As groups of exponent 4 are locally finite, there must be an element $g \in G$ of order 8. We get a contradiction by showing that $\langle g^4 \rangle^G$ is abelian and thus $g^4 \in \text{HP}(G) = 1$.

Let $h \in G$ and consider the subgroup $H = \langle g, g_1 \rangle$ where $g_1 = g^{-h}$. Let $\bar{H} = H/Z(G) = \langle \bar{g}, \bar{g}_1 \rangle$ where $\bar{g} = gZ(H)$ and $\bar{g}_1 = g_1Z(H)$. By Lemma 3.2 we know that $e(g, g_1) = e(g_1, g) = e(g^{-1}, g_1^{-1}) = e(g_1^{-1}, g^{-1}) = 1$. By Theorem A we then know that \bar{H} and therefore H is finite. Using GAP or MAGMA one can then check that $[g^{-4h}, g^4] = [g_1^4, g^4] = 1$ and thus we have shown that $\langle g^4 \rangle^G$ is abelian. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let G be a $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel 3-group. Then G is locally nilpotent.*

Proof As before we can assume that $\text{HP}(G) = 1$ and the aim is then to show that $G = 1$. We argue by contradiction and suppose that $G \neq 1$. As groups of exponent 3 are locally finite, there must be an element $g \in G$ of order 9. Let $h \in G$ and $g_1 = g^{-h}$. As in the proof of Proposition 1 one sees that H is finite and then with the help of GAP or MAGMA that $[g_1^3, g^3, g^3] = 1$. Thus $[h, g^3, g^3, g^3] = 1$ for all $h \in G$ and thus g^3 is a left 3-Engel element of G . By the main result of [5] we then know that $g^3 \in \text{HP}(G) = 1$ that contradicts the fact that $o(g) = 9$. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a group and let $a, b \in G$ be two elements of finite order such that $S = \{a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}\}$ is a 4-Engel set. Then every prime divisor of $o([a, b])$ is a divisor of $o(a)$ and $o(b)$. In particular if a and b are of coprime order, then $[a, b] = 1$.*

Proof By Lemma 3.2 together with Lemma 2.2, we know that $S_1 = \{b^a, b, b^{-a}, b^{-1}\}$ and $S_2 = \{a^b, a, a^{-b}, a^{-1}\}$ are 3-Engel subsets of G . By Theorem A we know that $H_1 = \langle a, a^b \rangle$ and $H_2 = \langle b, b^a \rangle$ are nilpotent. As these groups are nilpotent we know that every prime divisor of $|H_1|$ divides $o(a)$ and every prime divisor of $|H_2|$ divides $o(b)$. Now $[a, b] \in H_1 \cap H_2$ and thus $o([a, b])$ divides $|H_1|$ and $|H_2|$ and thus $o(a)$ and $o(b)$ from the discussion above. \square

Proof of Theorem B. Let G be a $\{2, 3\}$ -group that is $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel. Let H_2 be the set consisting of all elements in G whose order is a power of 2 and H_3 of those elements whose order is a power of 3. In view of Propositions 3.3 and 3.4, it suffices to show that H_2 and H_3 are subgroups and that G is a direct product of H_2 and H_3 . Now take any two elements $a, b \in G$ of coprime orders and let $T = \langle a, b \rangle$. By Lemma 3.1 we know that $e(a^\alpha, b^\beta) = e(b^\beta, a^\alpha) \in Z(T)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \{1, -1\}$. By Lemma 3.5 it follows that $[a, b] \in Z(T)$. Thus T is nilpotent and as a and b are of coprime order, it follows that $[a, b] = 1$. Now let $a \in H_2$ and $b \in \langle H_2 \rangle$ that has odd order. By the argument above we know that $[a, b] = 1$ and as $a \in H_2$ was arbitrary we see that $b \in Z(\langle H_2 \rangle)$. Thus $\langle H_2 \rangle / Z(\langle H_2 \rangle)$ is a 2-group and by Proposition 3.3 it is locally nilpotent and thus also $\langle H_2 \rangle$. As $\langle H_2 \rangle$ is generated by 2-elements, it is then a 2-group and thus $\langle H_2 \rangle = H_2$ and thus H_2 is a subgroup. The proof that H_3 is a subgroup is similar using Proposition 3.4. Now let $a \in H_2$ and $b \in H_3$ then $[a, b] \in H_2 \cap H_3$ and thus trivial. Hence G is a direct product of H_2 and H_3 and thus locally nilpotent. \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and consider the group $G = \langle x, y \rangle$ where $x^{p^2} = y^{p^2} = 1$ and that $\{x, y, x^{-1}, y^{-1}\}$ is a 3-Engel set. Then G has exponent p^2 and G^p is abelian.*

Proof By Theorem A we know that G is nilpotent of class at most 4. Then G is regular and it follows easily that $G^{p^2} = 1$ and then that $[G^p, G^p] = 1$ (for definition and properties of regular p -groups see §12.4 of [2], in particular Theorem 12.4.3). \square

Proof of Theorem C. Let $p \geq 5$ be a prime and let G be a $(4 + \frac{1}{2})$ -Engel p -group. Consider $H = G/\text{HP}(G)$ where $\text{HP}(G)$ is the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of G . The aim is to show that H is of exponent p . Passing from G to H we can thus without loss of generality assume that the Hirsch-Plotkin radical of G is trivial and the aim is to show that G is then of exponent p . We argue by contradiction and suppose that G has an element g of order p^2 . Let $h \in G$ and consider the subgroup $H = \langle g, g_1 \rangle$ where $g_1 = g^{-h}$. Let $\bar{H} = H/Z(H) = \langle \bar{g}, \bar{g}_1 \rangle$ where $\bar{g} = gZ(H)$ and $\bar{g}_1 = g_1Z(H)$. By Lemma 3.2 we know that $e(g, g_1) = e(g_1, g) = e(g^{-1}, g_1^{-1}) = e(g_1^{-1}, g^{-1}) = 1$. By Theorem A we then know that \bar{H} is finite and thus also H is finite. By Lemma 3.6 we know that $[\bar{g}_1^p, \bar{g}^p] = 1$, that is $[g_1^p, g^p] \in Z(H)$ and thus in particular $[h, g^p, g^p, g^p] = [g_1^p, g^p, g^p] = 1$. Thus g^p is a left 3-Engel element of odd order in G . By the main result of [5] it follows that $g^p \in \text{HP}(G) = 1$ that contradicts our assumption that $o(g) = p^2$. \square

Remark. The variety $\mathcal{E}_{n+\frac{1}{2}}$ seems to be the "engelization" of the variety of groups satisfying the law $[y, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y] = 1$ studied by Macdonald in [6] and [7].

References

- [1] A. Abdollahi, R. Brandl and A. Tortora, Groups generated by a finite Engel set, *J. Algebra* **347** (2011), 53–59.
- [2] Hall, Marshall, Jr. The theory of groups. Reprinting of the 1968 edition. Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, (1976).
- [3] G. Havas and M. R. Vaughan-Lee, 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent, *Int. J. Alg. Comp.* **15** (2005), 649–682.
- [4] H. Heineken, Englesche Elemente der Länge drei, *Illinois J. Math.* **5** (1961), 581–707.
- [5] E. Jabara and G. Traustason, Left 3-Engel elements of odd order in groups, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 1921–1927.
- [6] I. D. Macdonald, On certain varieties of groups, *Math. Z.* **76** (1961), 270–282.
- [7] I. D. Macdonald, On certain varieties of groups II, *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 175–178.
- [8] W. Nickel, NQ, 1998, A refereed GAP 4 package, see [9].
- [9] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.12 (2008).
- [10] G. Traustason, The local nilpotence theorem for 4-Engel groups revisited, *Int. J. Group Theory* **43** (2015), 21–75.